

cyclingincities

opinion survey



ABOUT THE STUDY

Using a bicycle for transportation is good for the environment, and it also offers personal health benefits. Cycling is also feasible, since more than 80% of Canadians live relatively close to at least one common destination, and over half of us already own a bicycle. Despite these advantages, however, cycling rates in Canadian cities remain very low. This opinion survey aimed to investigate what types of routes people prefer to cycle on, as well as the motivators and deterrents of cycling.

WHAT DID WE DO?

In 2006, we surveyed 1,402 current and potential adult cyclists in Metro Vancouver. Participants answered the questionnaire either online or by mail. The questionnaire asked people about:

- current use patterns and preferences for 16 different route types; and
- the influence of 73 other factors that might affect cycling behaviour including hills, road surfaces, intersections, vehicle traffic, aesthetics, safety, weather, end of trip facilities, links with transit, legislation and education.

WHO DID WE ASK?

We took a random sample of current and potential cyclists by drawing names from the phone book. People who either did not have access to a bicycle, who had not cycled in the past year, or who were not willing to consider cycling in the future were not eligible to participate. Participants were grouped into four categories, depending on how often they cycled.

	Number of people
Total participants	1402
Cyclist type	
Potential cyclist	197
Occasional cyclist (at least yearly)	617
Frequent cyclist (at least monthly)	481
Regular cyclist (at least weekly)	107
Gender	
Male	761
Female	668
Has children	
Yes	641
No	761
Municipality	
Vancouver	419
Other	983

DESIRABLE AND UNDESIRABLE ROUTES

Among the 16 different types of routes that we asked about in our survey, we found that people preferred to travel on paved paths separated from motor vehicle traffic, cycle tracks next to major city streets but separated by a physical barrier, or residential streets with traffic calming.

The questionnaire revealed a large disparity between the types of routes people *want* to travel on, and the types of routes that are *available* and therefore commonly used. A good example of this disparity can be found in the case of cycle tracks next to major streets: this route was least commonly used (less than 500 m of this route type existed in Metro Vancouver at the time of the study), but it was almost as desirable as unpaved off-street paths, or residential streets with bicycle facilities. These findings highlight one clear way to adapt the current road network so that it is more supportive of cyclists.

A full list of all 16 route types, arranged in order from desirable to undesirable, is shown here:

most desirable
route type



1. paved off-street bike-only path



2. paved off-street multi-use path



3. unpaved off-street multi-use path



4. cycle track alongside major street



5. residential street bike route with traffic calming



6. residential street marked as bike route



7. residential street



8. major street with bike lanes, no parked cars



9. rural road with paved shoulder and bike symbols



10. major street with bike symbols, no parked cars



11. major street with bike lanes, parked cars present



12. major street with bike symbols, parked cars present



13. rural road with paved shoulder



14. rural road with no paved shoulder



15. major street, no parked cars



16. major street, parked cars present

least desirable
route type



MOTIVATORS AND DETERRENTS

The main cycling motivators were route ease and enjoyment. Respondents enjoyed traveling away from air pollution, traffic noise, and traffic. They appreciated beautiful scenery and flat terrain, and preferred cycling if it took less time than other modes. The main deterrents to cycling were related to safety: factors such as traffic volume and speed, driver behaviour, ice and snow, and debris on the road.



A full list of all 73 factors, arranged in order from strong motivators to strong deterrents, is shown here.

The “influence” score ranges as follows: +1=more likely to cycle, 0=neutral, -1=much less likely to cycle.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING

Because this study shows that certain routes and design features encourage cycling, our results can be used by planners and designers seeking to increase the use of bicycles for transportation. We saw that:



Route designs that encourage cycling are:

- off-road paths: paved and for cyclists only
- residential streets: marked for cycling and with traffic calming
- major streets: cycle tracks separated from motor vehicle lanes by a curb or other barrier



Design features that encourage cycling are:

- cycling routes that are near beautiful scenery, away from air and noise pollution, separated from heavy and high speed traffic
- minimum slopes and distances, option to take bike on transit
- smooth, non-slip surfaces, free of debris
- good lighting, lanes marked with reflective paint
- safe indoor bike storage



HOW ARE WE USING THESE RESULTS?

The results of this survey have been presented to academics, urban planners, and cyclists. The first edition of this brochure was sent to planners and engineers in the 50 largest Canadian cities. Since the study was completed, there is a better understanding the importance of route infrastructure to motivating cycling and many Canadian cities have started building more bike-specific infrastructure.

The survey results have also been used to inform the development of two web-based tools. One is a cycling route planner, which allows cyclists to use a Google maps interface to choose routes throughout Metro Vancouver based on preferences such as distance, elevation gain, air quality, and areas featuring trees and other vegetation: www.cyclevancouver.ubc.ca. The other is a method of mapping the bikeability of neighbourhoods within cities, called “Bike Score”. It has been implemented in 10 Canadian and 16 US cities to date: www.walkscore.com/bike/

The data from the survey have also been used in other research on cycling motivators and on cycling injuries. More information about the ongoing Cycling in Cities research program can be found on our website: <http://cyclingincities.spph.ubc.ca>

FOR MORE INFORMATION

If you have questions about our research study or would like to know more, please visit our website (<http://cyclingincities.spph.ubc.ca>) or contact us:

Kay Teschke
Professor
School of Population and Public Health
University of British Columbia
Phone: 604 822 2041
E-mail: kay.teschke@ubc.ca

Meghan Winters
Assistant Professor
Faculty of Health Sciences
Simon Fraser University
Phone: 778 782 9325
E-mail: mwinters@sfu.ca

If you have questions, concerns, or comments about cycling in the City of Vancouver, dial 311 or e-mail bikevancouver@vancouver.ca.

HUB keeps a list of municipal cycling contacts for the Metro Vancouver region: www.vacc.bc.ca.



STUDY PARTNERS AND FUNDERS

Canadian Cancer Society	City of White Rock
City of Langley	Metro Vancouver
City of New Westminster	Township of Langley
City of Richmond	Translink
City of Port Moody	Transport Canada MOST Program
City of Surrey	University of British Columbia
City of Vancouver	HUB (formerly the Vancouver Area Cycling Coalition)



